



U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney
Southern District of New York

The Silvio J. Mollo Building
One Saint Andrew's Plaza
New York, New York 10007

September 4, 2018

BY ECF & E-MAIL

The Honorable Denise Cote
United States District Judge
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, New York 10007

Re: United States v. Jordan Fogel,
17 Cr. 308 (DLC)

Dear Judge Cote:

As the Court is aware, defendant Jordan Fogel is represented by Marc L. Mukasey of the law firm Greenberg Traurig LLP. Assisting in this representation is Kedar Bhatia of the same firm. Mr. Mukasey has notified the Government that Mr. Bhatia has applied for a position as an Assistant United States Attorney in the Southern District of New York. As a result of Mr. Bhatia's application, and the potential conflict of interest that it presents proceeding with this case, the Government requests that the Court hold a hearing pursuant to *United States v. Curcio*, 680 F.2d 881 (2d Cir. 1982). Enclosed is a proposed set of questions for the Court to pose at a hearing, both to advise the defendant of his right to a counsel with no such potential conflict and to confirm on the record that the defendant is prepared to go forward with his current representation.

The Government notes in this regard that the Second Circuit has set forth the requirements for a *Curcio* procedure in great detail:

At such a hearing, the trial court (1) advises the defendant of his right to representation by an attorney who has no conflict of interest, (2) instructs the defendant as to the dangers arising from particular conflicts, (3) permits the defendant to confer with his chosen counsel, (4) encourages the defendant to seek advice from independent counsel, (5) allows a reasonable time for the defendant to make a decision, and (6) determines, preferably by means of questions that are likely to be answered in narrative form, whether the defendant understands the risk of representation by his present counsel and freely chooses to run them.

United States v. Perez, 325 F.3d 115, 119 (2d Cir. 2003).

cc: Marc L. Mukasey, Esq. (by email)

The Government's Proposed *Curcio* Hearing Questions

The Government respectfully requests the Court to include the following questions in its examination of Jordan Fogel, the defendant, pursuant to the procedures outlined in *United States v. Curcio*, 680 F.2d 881 (2d Cir. 1982). In addition, the Government respectfully requests that the Court address Mr. Fogel personally, seek to elicit narrative answers from Mr. Fogel, and give Mr. Fogel an opportunity to consider the Court's advice and review it with independent counsel prior to accepting a waiver, pursuant to *United States v. Rodriguez*, 968 F.2d 130, 138-39 (2d Cir. 1992).

Introductory Questions

1. How old are you?
2. How far did you go in school?
3. Do you currently consult a doctor for any condition?
4. Are you currently under the influence of alcohol or drugs of any kind?
5. Are you feeling well enough to proceed with this hearing today?

Circumstances of Representation

6. Are you currently represented by Marc L. Mukasey of the law firm of Greenberg Traurig LLP?

7. Is Mr. Mukasey retained or appointed counsel?

8. Has Kedar Bhatia, also of the law firm of Greenberg Traurig LLP, also been involved in your representation?

9. Do you know that Mr. Bhatia has applied for a position with the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York — that is, the Office that is currently prosecuting you?

10. Because of Mr. Bhatia's pending application for employment with the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, I wish to advise you of certain matters. You should understand that under the United States Constitution and the laws of this country, you are entitled to the aid and assistance of counsel at all times in these proceedings. You are entitled to counsel of your own choice unless there is a strong legal reason for disqualifying that counsel. If you cannot afford an attorney, as you have already indicated, an attorney will be appointed to represent you without cost. Do you understand?

11. It is essential to the idea of an adequate defense in a criminal proceeding that your attorney have no conflicts or adverse interests of any kind. That is to say, he or she cannot, unless it is with your knowledge and consent, have any conflicting interest in the case. You have the right to the assistance of a lawyer whose loyalty to you is undivided and not subject to any factor

that might intrude upon that loyalty. The purpose of this law is to ensure that you have the full devoted defense furnished to you by an attorney who has no other possible interest of any kind in this matter. Do you understand that?

12. This Court — having presided as the judge in many trials in this courthouse — believes that representation where there is a potential conflict of interest, may be ill-advised. Do you understand that?

13. Do you understand that Mr. Bhatia's application for employment with the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, as a prosecutor, creates the potential that he may have allegiances to interests that may be adverse to your own interests?

14. Do you understand that, by deciding to proceed with Mr. Mukasey, you are waiving any argument after your sentencing that he was ineffective or deficient in his representation of you, because Mr. Bhatia suffered from a conflict of interest by virtue of his application for a position with the U.S. Attorney's Office?

Discussions With Counsel Re: Potential Conflicts

15. Have you discussed these conflict-of-interest matters with Mr. Mukasey?

16. Are you satisfied with his representation of you?

Defendant's Narrative of Potential Conflicts and Rights

17. I want you to please describe for me, in your own words, your understanding of the conflict of interest that potentially arises from Mr. Bhatia's representation of you while pursuing his application for a position with the United States Attorney's Office as a federal prosecutor.

Opportunity to Seek Advice of Other Counsel

18. Do you understand that you have a right to consult with a lawyer other than Mr. Mukasey and Mr. Bhatia in order to determine whether you wish Greenberg Traurig LLP to represent you?

19. Do you understand that the Court will give you an opportunity to do so and that the Court encourages you to do so?

20. Do you understand that if you cannot afford other counsel, the Court will appoint counsel to consult with you regarding these conflict of interest matters? Such an attorney will not be connected with Greenberg Traurig LLP or the Government at all. Anything you tell that attorney will be a secret between you and the attorney. The attorney cannot tell Mr. Mukasey, Mr. Bhatia, me or the Government's attorneys about your discussions with her or him. Do you understand that?

Questions to the Defense Attorney

21. Mr. Bhatia, have you discussed the potential conflicts of interest with Mr. Fogel?
22. And do you feel that he understands the possible risks of being represented by a lawyer with potential conflicts of interest?
23. Mr. Bhatia, is there anything else you would like the Court to state or inquire about in this regard?
24. If you wish, Mr. Fogel, I will give you several days to consult with another attorney about these conflict of interest matters. You should think about the possibilities that I have told you about. You may talk it over with Messrs. Mukasey and Bhatia. After you've thought it all over, I will ask you whether you have considered the matters I have talked about, whether you want Messrs. Mukasey and Bhatia to continue to represent you, and whether you want to waive your right to counsel who is not laboring under any potential conflicts of interest. I will also ask you whether you are willing to waive your rights to argue, on appeal or otherwise, that you were denied effective assistance of counsel because of Mr. Bhatia's application for a position with the prosecutor's office for the Southern District of New York.
25. Is there anything that the Court has said that you wish to have explained further? Is anything unclear?
26. Would you like the opportunity to consult with another attorney? [If so, adjourn for such opportunity, and continue with the questions immediately following, upon reconvening.]

Second Proceeding if Requested and/or Concluding Questions

27. Do you still wish to proceed with Messrs. Mukasey and Bhatia as your attorneys in this case?
28. Have you received any inducements, promises or threats with regard to your choice of counsel in this case?
29. Do you agree to waive any and all future arguments, on appeal or otherwise, that you were denied effective assistance of counsel because of Mr. Bhatia's pursuit of a position as a federal prosecutor with the Southern District of New York?
30. Is your waiver of your right to conflict-free representation voluntary?